

AUG 19 2003

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CATHY A. CATTERSON
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**NIGHTLIFE PARTNERS, LTD;
ENTERTAINMENT ASSOCIATION OF
L.A., INC., a California Corporation;
DEJU VU SHOW GIRLS OF BEVERLY
HILLS LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability
Company; DEJU VU CONSULTING
INC., a Michigan Corporation; JANE
DOE, I; JANE DOE, II,**

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 02-57007

D.C. No. CV-01-01563-DDP

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
Dean D. Pregerson, District Judge, Presiding

Argued and Submitted August 4, 2003
Pasadena, California

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

Before: **KOZINSKI, T.G. NELSON**, Circuit Judges, and **RESTANI**, Judge.**

Though the district court’s decision granting partial summary judgment to the city may have the indirect effect of “refusing . . . [an] injunction[],” see 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1), we decline to interpret 28 U.S.C. § 1292 so broadly as to allow an interlocutory appeal that would inevitably result in “piecemeal review.” See Carson v. Am. Brands, Inc., 450 U.S. 79, 84 (1981).

DISMISSED.

** The Honorable Jane A. Restani, United States Court of International Trade, sitting by designation.